

## Proposed Corrections and Amendments Council 03 March 2020

### **Correction Item 16 – Capital Strategy and Programme 2020/21 to 2022/23**

The heading in the table on page 72 of the agenda in paragraph 5.5 should read:

Expenditure	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s

---

### **Item 16 – Capital Strategy and Programme 2020/21 to 2022/23**

#### **Amendments from the Liberal Democrat Group**

**Proposer: Jeff Brooks**

**Seconder: Lee Dillon**

**See Separate Document below**

#### **S151 Officer Comments**

The additional £90k of capital spend would, over a 5 year period, cost @£19kp.a. that would need to be funded in the revenue budget from 2020-21.

---

### **Item 16 – Capital Strategy and Programme 2020/21 to 2022/23**

#### **Amendments from the Green Party**

**Proposer: David Marsh**

**Seconder: Carolyn Culver**

#### **Capital Strategy 2020/21 to 2022/23**

a) Page 75 5.16 (6) Transport Services Fleet Upgrade

*Original text:* £638k of additional funding to support community transport, increased provision of cycling facilities at schools and renewal of the council's minibus fleet.

*Amendment:* Add “with ULEV vehicles” at end.

Reason: To reduce emissions in line with the draft Environment Strategy.

b) *Page 87 Park House – Expansion*

*Original text:* “Impact at Park House School of additional pupil numbers from Racecourse and Sandleford new housing developments”

*Amendment:* Delete “and Sandleford”, change “developments” to “development”.

Reason: The current wording is premature as the Sandleford development has not been, and may never be, approved.

c) *Page 88 Robin Hood Improvements*

*Amendment:* “The improvements to include fully integrated cycling infrastructure, with cycle footbridge to offer full connectivity across the A4, A339 and Shaw Road”.

20/21 capital spend: £100,000 on a feasibility study and initial work on this project.

Reason: To improve cycling infrastructure in line with the draft Environment Strategy

d) *Page 88 Sandleford Access Improvements*

*Amendment:* Move the £1m grant money to 21/22, subject to agreement with the LEP, and defer any such “improvements” until when and if any Sandleford development is approved.

Reason: We don’t agree with spending money on this in 20/21. No Sandleford development has been approved and we believe it is unsustainable and undeliverable.

e) *Page 89 Natural carbon reduction measures*

*Amendment:* Bring forward £500,000 of the £1.25m from 21/22 to 20/21.

Reason: So that that some of this vital work can be started soon, rather than wait a year. It is, after all, a climate “emergency

f) *Page 89 Public transport infrastructure*

*Amendment:* Treble the £50,000 earmarked for this, the extra £100,000 being used to start work on much needed improvements to Newbury bus station.

Reason: To encourage bus use.

**S151 Officer Comments**

- a) subject to availability and cost of ULEV fleet - no financial impact
  - b) None
  - c) This work could be capitalised as part of an overall scheme, subject to the feasibility study and subsequent funding requirement. The £100,000, over a ten year life, would cost £11k pa. This funding would need to come from the Climate emergency fund highlighted in a later amendment for 2020-21 and in future years as part of the revenue budget.
  - d) This funding is from the LEP; the Council would need to agree with the LEP as to whether this could be accommodated or if the Council would be required to pay back the funding.
  - e) This funding could be brought forward, depending on outcome of the feasibility study, and would require some rebalancing of the capital strategy or slippage of projects.
  - f) The £100,000 would cost, over a ten year life £11k pa. This funding would need to come from the Climate emergency fund highlighted in a later amendment for 2020-21 and in future years as part of the revenue budget.
-